

ROBERT JURCIC



25 YEARS OF THE EUROPEAN UNION



Contents

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Introduction | 3 |
| Economy of European Union | 7 |
| Euro | 31 |
| Situation in Eastern Europe | 42 |
| Conclusion Economy | 48 |
| Multiculturalism & migrations | 59 |
| Disappearance of Europeans | 84 |
| Conclusion multiculturalism and migrations | 96 |
| European constitution | 104 |
| Conclusion European constitution | 128 |
| Foreign Affairs | 136 |
| USA (US version 30, Croatian 21 pages) | 136 |
| Russia | 157 |
| China | 165 |
| Poor will inherit the earth | 169 |
| Fake news | 174 |
| Sources | 180 (22 pages) |

Introduction

European Union is a troubled political and economic union of European countries. The European Union's official values are the rule of law, democracy, promotion of human rights, creation of highly competitive economy, promotion of citizens' well being and solidarity between member countries. The difference between these beautiful idealistic values of the European Union and the reality is very similar to the difference between the communist idea of total equality and the real life under communism.

Similar to communism, which during 74 years of its lifespan acted completely opposite to its own ideology, the European Union has had the same approach for its first 25 years of existence. The book you are holding in your hands represents an analytical view of the reality of the European Union, the reality that is totally opposed to the virtual reality shown by the media of the EU regime. When telling 'media of the regime' we don't mean anything offensive, as it is quite normal in every country that the media glorifies government policies without paying attention if those are successful or not. In respect of this, it's not important if the regime is autocratic or democratic.

As Deng Xiaoping said "it doesn't matter whether a cat is black or white, as long as it catches mice", every real humanist, as well as every worker or pensioner does not really consider closely if the system is democratic or autocratic, because these are complex questions, especially nowadays. An ordinary person puts all political systems into two categories; i. systems which raise the living standards of own population and ii. systems which lower the living standards of own population. Our main structural problem with the European Union is that it has been created with an aim of lowering the living standards of German, French, Italian and other European citizens.

Nothing can better demonstrate this structural goal of the European Union than the Customs Union agreement signed with Turkey on the 6th of March 1995, only 16 months after the establishment of the European Union. With the mentioned agreement the European political and economic elite made a deal to transfer industrial workplaces to Turkey with available cheap workforce, transforming the country's economy from agrarian into industrial (1). Meanwhile, this agreement caused a loss of stable manufacturing jobs to the western European citizens.

Along with the forthcoming decades the afore mentioned structural aim will consistently be pursued and obtained and the change will occur only in the names of the countries used for lowering western-european living standards. In the beginning it was Turkey, but later on China and ex-communist new members will come forth. The task of the latter upon entering European Union will be to become sources of cheap workforce suppressing wages, and they did this job perfectly. This forced suppression of wages is best seen when we remember the story about " the polish plumber" and "the Celtic Tiger" (Ireland). The number of eastern-european born people in Ireland abruptly jumped from 0% to 4% in only 24 months, definitely creating massive disturbance in the labour market. It comes as no surprise that the 80% of the population of Ireland at that time wanted to stop the inflow of new migrant workforce and its no surprise either that the Irish government ignored this demand, though any truly democratic government is not allowed to do so.

The second impact of the european structural changes can be seen when talking about the industry of the United Kingdom, where manufacturing accounted for 20% of GDP in 1980s, while in the beginning of this decade it was barely 10% (2). Entire communities in Western Europe have been destroyed because of the decrease of manufacturing jobs and citizens are very well aware that they have been looted due to the european laws, corporations and the elite which redistributed the corporate wealth upwards. This macroeconomical, structural policy created by financial experts and European Central Bank (3) has been a total economic failure during the last 25 years. The proof of this is the fact that in 2012 European Union was the largest economy in the world in terms of GDP and GDP per PPP, while now, only 6 years later, it is first in neither.

In the second chapter of the book we analyze how Europe is affected with the madness of multiculturalism and migration. When talking about migrants' impact on living standards we must never forget the first principle of economics, which states that migrants are expected to reduce wages of indigenous workers and increase the returns on capital (4). To create different fake pro-migrant visions, demographs and economists are demanded to present arguments in support of migration, even if they do not believe in them (5). The difference between the reality and propaganda is obviously shown in the example of french "humanitarians", who support migrants and multicultural society, but at the same time do not allow their own children to live in that society. Their public statement "The social mix will not be done on the back of my daughter!" (6) clearly shows that they support the creation of

multicultural society on the back of other people's daughters, but not on their own ones. As a result of this policy today 65% of young Italians are against accepting migrants, while the percentage is much higher among older people. (7).

The advocates of utilitarianism ideology, who can be found especially in western liberal elite keep saying that their aim is to maximise the well-being of as many people as possible in the world. In regards to the migration, they argue that even if some of their fellow citizens might suffer because of the immigration, the benefit received by the families and societies in Africa and Asia easily outweighs the Westerners' inconvenience (8). Meanwhile they refuse to recognize that they receive personal benefits from migrant's cheap workforce, accommodation etc.

The basis of the second part of multiculturalism is found in the ideology of "white skin privileges". This new ideological thinking was created during 1960s fight for the rights of black people in US. The main creator of this ideology was NACLA formed in Havana for support of Fidel Castro regime. This ideological thinking together with stalinist ideology of "zero group difference" stating that human nature is an artificial social construct, represents nowadays official dogmas of western academic circles, media and governments.

In this part of the book using evidence from academic community, history, european and international laws we destroy this dogma and show that Europeans are living in last moments of own golden age. Governments and international organizations long time demographic projections are showing that Europe is in terminal demographic decline caused by complex factors starting between other things with the targeted decisions of the European Commission to raise the competitiveness of economy.

In the third and shortest chapter we analyse how the European Union and its de facto constitution was created. There is no need to quote the former French Minister of Finance and Managing Director of the International Monetary Fund Christine Lagarde, who declared "We violated all the rules because we wanted to close ranks and really rescue the euro zone. The Treaty of Lisbon was very straight-forward. No bailout" to know that the European Union proclaiming the rule of law as one of the most important values has broken many times all European rules, all European laws.

In the last part of the book we analyze how the European Union with a catastrophic foreign policy has created on its own borders during only last 6 years of ring of fire. When looking through the official documents, we might believe in coincidences resulting in the British-French attack on Libya, even if all evidence point out to a planned war of aggression in 2011. This catastrophic failure has not stopped the European Union from sending money and weapons, against all international treaties, to Al-Qaeda and ISIS in Syria or to support coup d'etat against democratically elected president of Ukraine, which, in its turn, will result in constant low level conflict in this country. It would be interesting to ask ourselves and eurocrats how it is possible that the European Union, which is the greatest supporter of human rights in the world, has destroyed so many countries on its own borders and has become an accomplice in bloody conflicts.

Looking at the situation from outside of this ring of fire, we will discover Europe. Europe has during decades supported the so-called democratic opposition leaders only to later call them dictators. Without any doubts, the first on this list is the Turkish president Erdogan, who even after winning through election the power has received european full support in destroying the army officers which has protected Turkish secular society. Second on this list is Aung San Suu Kyi, who is now called genocide dictator: so we must ask ourselves how it is possible to make so abysmal mistakes.

Without a doubt, the greatest mistake from the western perspective was the dispute with USA after the elections of Donald Trump as the president. The initial reactions to his election were the critical statements of the European Commission president Jean-Claude Juncker and other european leaders, after which Merkel was proclaimed the new leader of the free world and the world savior. These unreasonable attacks were not only towards the president Trump, but also towards Americans, who had lost around 6-7 million of manufacturing jobs during the last 16 years (9), after which they voted for a person who promised to change the failing economy and societal system.

The European Union has been created on the beautiful dream of european unity, aiming to end wars on our continent and create prosperity together with brotherhood and unity of the european nations. This beautiful dream has in short period of time become a nightmare, in which prosperity is replaced with stagnation, brotherhood and unity of Europeans with brotherhood and unity of everybody, which according to the words of one of the greatest contemporary

strategists "will always end in war, because intention is not important. The only important thing is the final result".

The year of 2017 can serve as an obvious proof of the reality of situation of the European institutions. The president of the European Commission Jean-Claude Juncker received this position as a reward for transforming Luxembourg into the European center of tax evasion. Next to him we have the president of European Parliament Antonio Tajani, (10) responsible for "dieselgate" affair (11), and eventually we have the President of Eurogroup Jeroen Dijsselbloem from Dutch Labour party who had received only 5.7 % of votes during the Dutch parliamentary elections of 2017. They best represent the European pillar of social rights, honesty and democracy in the European Union.

Sources for Introduction chapter:

1) The EU and Turkey need each other. Could upgrading the customs union be the key?

<https://www.brookings.edu/blog/order-from-chaos/2017/08/29/the-eu-and-turkey-need-each-other-could-upgrading-the-customs-union-be-the-key/>

2) An economy that boasted 20% of its income coming from manufacturing in the 1980s found it was the source of barely 10% at the beginning of this decade. <https://www.theguardian.com/business/2018/jan/20/brexit-britain-tired-old-economy-not-strong-enough>

3) Joseph E. Stiglitz: The Euro (book) page 28.

4) Paul Collier: Exodus (book) page 111

5) OECD & EU: Matching Economic Migration with Labour Market Needs

6) Liberation: Les parents déchirés par l'école 17.01.2018

http://www.liberation.fr/debats/2018/01/17/les-parents-dechires-par-l-ecole_1623163

7) La Stampa: I sondaggisti e l'effetto sulle urne: "Ora ha paura degli immigrati anche chi vota centrosinistra"

<http://www.lastampa.it/2018/02/06/italia/politica/i-sondaggisti-e-leffetto-sulle-urne-ora-ha-paura-degli-immigrati-anche-chi-vota-centrosinistra-UKPZVN400C4oHh3WqCanXK/pagina.html>

8) Foreign Affairs: A World Without Borders 28.02.2017

<https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/world/2017-02-28/world-without-borders>

9) Foreign Affairs: Why Globalization Stalled July/August 2017 Issue

<https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/world/2017-06-13/why-globalization-stalled>

10) Politico.eu: 'No go' Tajani divides opinion 17.12.2016

<https://www.politico.eu/article/no-go-antonio-tajani-divides-opinion/>

11) euobserver: Leaked memo: EU commissioner ignored car emissions warnings 02.09.2016 <https://euobserver.com/dieselgate/134865>

Extract from chapter Economy of European Union

Dogma of Competitiveness and Reality

At the beginning of the Great Recession, the European Union came out with the oxymoron "from austerity to growth," arguing that by reducing pension and social programs it would regain market confidence, and thus see an explosive growth of private investments leading to the economic growth and the good times. Because their plan had the same chance of success as a similar Byzantine austerity plan from the XI century, the result was also similar. All competent objective economists knew that there would be a decline in domestic consumption, which in a consumer society marks the beginning of economic recessions or/and depressions. Since we have to assume that they are at least partially capable, then we have to accept that the primary goal was to cut state pensions and social programs to help raise the corporate profits: to redistribute profits from citizens toward corporations.

After the collapse of this easily proven, unsuccessful economic ideology of lowering living standards and increasing poverty of Europeans, a new official dogma was created. It was a dogma of competitiveness whose basic goals were identical to earlier dogmas, the earlier oxymoron „from austerity to growth”. In the words of Angela Merkel, the real master of the European Union, we represent 7% of the world's population, 25% of GDP and 50% of social spending. If we want to be successful in the future, competing with developing countries, we cannot be so generous.

Merkel's unquestionable aim is to abolish the European social state and she is very successful in fulfilling it. When we talk about situation, the basic problem we are faced with is a widespread opinion about catastrophes, be it political or economic, and never about stagnation. When we talk about the collapse of the system, the first thing to come to mind is Zimbabwe in the 21st century or the Weimar Republic in the 20th century. No one thinks of post-roman Britain or Rhaetia in the 5th century, the Empire of China or Argentina in the mid 20th century. Data from the European Statistical Agency, Eurostat, prove to us that Europeans are facing stagnation more similar to these post-roman, Chinese or Argentine examples than the disastrous scenarios that are more popular with the public.

Ultimately, most economic measures are in complete contradiction to these newly proclaimed competitiveness- and productivity enhancing goals of the European Union. The first of these real measures are those that deal with increasing inequality in Europe since it's generally recognized by the economic community that the increase in inequality negatively affects economic growth. The Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, abbreviated OECD, the International Monetary Fund and others all agree on this issue. Now that we know this, it is time to deal with macroeconomic measures implemented by the European Union with the exception of those already mentioned on migrant workers and avoidance of tax payment.

Euro

.....About this agreement and generally the euroarea organization Joseph E. Stiglitz, the winner of so called Nobel Prize for the economy, simply stated "It was that the structure of the euroarea, its rules and regulations, were not designed to promote growth, employment, and stability. "

This agreement has decided that all members of the future euroarea must have a budget deficit of less than 3% GDP and a public debt below 60% of GDP. These limitations in a certain sense has already at the very beginning shown how European Commission respected its own rules, its own laws. The first selection of euroarea members was carried out in March 1998 using data for 1997 year. According to this data, the Belgian public debt was 122.2%, and the Italian 121.6% of GDP or in other words it was twice higher of what was then or even today allowed. Regardless, both countries, although not eligible, together with, for example, Germany, with a public debt of 61.3%, have become members of the euroarea. All in all, despite the open opposition of 300 leading European economists and 150 German, 11 countries have forever frozen exchange rates on 01.01.1999 and with that become members of the euroarea. They will be joined by Greece again on the criteria that are much better for everyone not to mention, so these 12 members have been the first countries of the euroarea starting officially on January 1, 2002.

Today, 15 years after the founding of the euro, former fierce euro supporter economist Hans-Werner Sinn acknowledges that creation of euro has been a mistake, while on other side in 2013 Martin Wolf has said "If all members of

the euroarea would rejoin happily today, they would be extreme masochists. In the words of Otmar Issing first chief economist of the European Central Bank, even today, the future of the euro after all the suffering created with austerity policy is not certain which is bringing us back to the British interwar sadistic austerity without any positive result. In his thinking, the euro has always been a political project led by the great opposition of the citizens. At the time of the founding of the euro, up to 80 per cent of Germans were opposed to giving up the Deutschmark, while the vast majority of them are still opposed.

Since the euro is primarily a political project that neither cares for macroeconomics nor for raising the standard of living in the European Union, the decision of British voters to leave the Union, is just as much as a previous similar decision by the Greenland, led to a decision on its strengthening. In line with this, Commissioner for Economic Affairs Pierre Moscovici announced that all EU members, which are not members of the euroarea, will receive "a offer that can not be refused to become a member of the euroarea" . These offers will come unquestionably with the threat - because how to look at it differently, when it comes at the time when the European Central Bank is openly saying that the financial policy it implements is having the distributional effect, which is resulting with the strengthening of inequality in Europe. It does not matter whether such statements are publicly announced by a member of the Executive Board of the European Central Bank or by the President of the European Central Bank.

Almost all reactions on this offer and the similar one, given by Jean-Claude Juncker in his speech have been negative. In the words of Jurgen von Hagen, professor of economics at the University of Bonn "The euro has already proven destructive" which is very similar to the reaction-of Nicholas Oulton from London School of Economics "The euro has been disastrous for Europe". All in all 76 % of leading european economists were opposed to compulsory membership of the euro, which is very similar percentage to what we can see in different public opinion polls. Different opinion polls in Sweden during last few years has shown that between 66 % and 77 % of voters were opposed. Similar situation has been in UK where 85 % has been opposed, in Denmark 61 % opposed in 2011 and this percentage not changed in latter years.

In the end, after all the unnecessary sadistic measures of austerity over the past 10 years, the biggest impact on the growth and competitiveness has

been devaluation of the euro against the dollar. In the summer of 2017 an alarm bell has begun to ring when the opposite happened. Morgan Stanley's analysis estimate that a 10 per cent Euro appreciation tends to lower growth by around 0.7pp one year after. In the long run for the euroarea in today's form this is not important and has no impact at all because, as I have proved in my small analysis, the accuracy of which has been confirmed by the office of the President of the European Commission, the euroarea will begin to collapse because of the internal imbalances in 2030.

Extract from chapter European constitution - conclusion

The third and last important political reform for the future functioning of the Union should be a redesign of the European Court of Justice, which would become a constitutional court, thus ceasing to be a lid for every pot. That will block the court from making new decisions with an aim to create a federalist Union like it has been until now. This new proposed constitutional court will be forbidden to make juridical decisions as: obesity represents disability, superiors can read private messages of their employees, Poland should stop logging in forest or Sweden cannot expel terrorism suspects, as those do not concern the functioning of the Union. Accordingly, the European Court of Justice has no right to interfere in these and similar affairs of Member States that do not violate the de facto European Constitution. Court current interference is most often concerned with the expansion of fundamentalist liberal economy & ideology together with the abolition of democracy in the Union, and therefore it must become unacceptable to all of us in its present unreformed form.

With only three very simple and very complicated political reforms, the European Union would raise its own legitimacy in the eyes of Europeans and become a popular democratic creation rather than a hated autocratic regime as it is now. These proposed reforms would not abolish decisions such as killing dozens or, more precisely, hundreds of thousands, of Europeans by encouraging the use of diesel vehicles to "protect nature". Likewise, the reforms would not affect the decisions that countries must report to de facto corrupt European institutions at what price they buy energy and countless others. This should really not concern the European Commission or other European institutions at all.

It is similar with a dozen other stupid and unnecessarily imposed rules as well as the countless rules and laws that were broken by the European Commission and other Eurocratic organizations who dare to hypocritically claim to the Member States that: "The rule of law is one of the common values on which the Union is founded". One member of the Polish Parliament said: "The good of the nation is above the law," which represents a gross

totalitarian statement, but stupid thing is, if you replace "nation" with "European Union," the sentence could just as well have come from Merkel.

In addition to these proposed structural changes to the European Union, ideological changes are also needed for it to survive. The Treaty of Lisbon hardly mentions the European past and civilization, not considering it an example of the European success, but a problem that Europe needs to overcome. Even if we skip the fact that we, Europeans and our ancestors, not Africans or Asians, have created modern society with our inventions of energy, cars, aircraft's, computers and almost everything else, we have nothing to be ashamed of. We have enough problems with this ideological humiliation. As Kenneth Clark said a long time ago: "A lack of confidence kills a civilization before anything else".

Extracts from chapter multiculturalism

France

Using Great Britain as a bad example, French prime minister Michel Rocard has on 02.12.1989. declared that France cannot be a “juxtaposition of communities”, but that it must be founded on common values and must not follow the Anglo-Saxon model which allows ethnic groups to barricade themselves inside geographical and cultural ghettos. This has been time when president Mitterrand has declared on 07.01.1990. that France has accepted too much migrants in 1970s. Unfortunately for France and the French people, he spoke typical political lies in an attempt to raise ratings of his party.

Negative cultural and demographic change has began during early eighties. France has been near bankruptcy only to be saved with 2 to 3 billion dollars from Saudi Arabia. It is possible to say that in exchange for this loan, Mauroy government had repealed number of restrictive laws, starting with the law about established quotas for immigrants and the law that restricted the right of association for immigrants. Abolishment of this laws has resulted with creation of the immigrant “problem” in France and increased assertiveness of immigrants in different disputes. Between other problems created with law changes from this period there has been a political earthquake in 1982 with the so called Mustafa letter. This “letter” is calling Muslims to come to live in France “where Muslims have become masters and lords”.

It is possible to say that in 1983 Pierre Mauroy, socialist prime minister of France has lost control of the situation. This has been the year of immigrants strikes which have been de facto allowed only by the law changes of 1981. During this events Mauroy has declared “immigrants strikes leaders are motivated by religious and political interests that had little to do with French social realities”, but he has not changed his policies. During strikes car factory in Aulnay-sous-bois has dismissed militant Muslim strike leaders. After resignation of his government, which has created multiple pro immigrant laws, Pierre Mauroy has become first secretary of the French Socialist Party, and latter president of the Socialist International (1992-1999). Because of his extremely strong influence on socialist parties in Europe between 1981 and 1999 it is maybe even possible to call him the father of European multiculturalism. To see real results of his pro migrant policies it is best for us

all to look at the past and present situation in “his” town, where he has been undisputed leader for almost 30 years. It is even possible to say that today Lille represent future of Europe.

After 28 years of Mauroy on position as the Lille mayor, a new, socialist mayor, has in 2001 become Martine Aubry, the daughter of Jacques Dellors, the president of the European commission. Around one year after becoming mayor, Martine has decided to visit a town in the Lille metropolitan area called Roubaix. She has informed local imam about her intention to visit the town and their Sunni community only to receive an answer that this is Muslim territory and that it will be haram, forbidden to welcome her in Roubaix, so that he will instead come to the mayor office. This “mini-revolt” has ended without any reaction from Martine Aubry or the government so today, in words of Fabrice Balanche, research director of University of Lyon 2 & visiting fellow at The Washington university, Roubaix has become "a mini-Islamic state" - because the authority of the French state is completely absent. It is important to notice that Martine Aubry is still the mayor of Lille so for her this has ended brilliantly.

New, open for everybody to see, political earthquake in France has been created with 2005 unrest, which was started when police has been called to investigate break-in. Three teenagers, most importantly for this story three Sunni teenagers have tried to hide from police in a power substation where they have been electrocuted. This event has resulted, in New York Times words, with revolt of French Muslims of African or North African origins. 3 days after the start of this revolt, minister of interior Sarkozy would repeat words of civilians asking for help telling them that he will protect neighborhood from “racaille” (en:troublemakers). Later, media and Muslim teenagers would use that as a reason for revolt, which has started 3 days after his speech.

In words of French philosopher and intellectual Alain Finkielkraut, Muslim teenagers, burning the country's institutions, are saying: “Fuck your mother! Fuck the state! Fuck the police!” But political elite is calling this a cry for help. For example, BBC has spoken about discontent of France immigrant population, presenting a sad story about racist French society as refusing to accept immigrants and children of immigrants. BBC will end the story about the riots with a sentence which today we all know very, very well: “The great majority of Muslims resent the extremists in their midst“. Similar to this statement, French television will censor events “to avoid encouraging the

resurgence of extreme right wing views in France,” which we will hear many, many times in the future.

It is possible to say that France will try almost everything to make multiculturalism work, to integrate immigrants and their children in society, but all this will result with failure. On 15 July 2009 Amedy Coulibaly, convicted robber, was received by the french president Nicolas Sarkozy at the Élysée Palace as an example of successful rehabilitation, only to be arrested less than year later on ammunition charges. In 2013 he received 5 years prison sentence for a plot to get a member of the terror group behind 1995 Paris Metro attack out from prison. Amedy Coulibaly, which has been touted as an example of successful rehabilitation, would leave prison in 2014 after only 5 months. One year later he participated in the terrorist attack on Charlie Hebdo. Other member involved in that attack was Ahmed Khelifi, a candidate in 2012 parliamentary elections.

In words of Coulibaly girlfriend “people who had suffered injustice had the right to take up arms against the oppressors” and in words of Coulibaly “Even in our own country (France) we no longer have the right to apply sharia now?”. From all that it is possible to conclude that in the eyes of this Muslim terror group French so called no-go zones are not anymore part of France.

After Charlie Hebdo terror attack, French art director Joachim Roncin has created Je suis Charlie (I am Charlie) slogan and logo freedom of speech and freedom of the press. This slogan, which has been promoted by France and other countries, was almost at the same moment attacked by the logo Je ne suis pas Charlie (I am not Charlie), by a part of Muslim community. Many Frenchmen were alarmed when some Muslim students refused to participate in a moment of silence and even openly sympathized with the attackers. Even more alarming was that they received foreign media support for that. In the words of Qatar based and financed satellite TV channel Al Jazeera, Je suis Charlie represented defiance, solidarity and most importantly Islamophobia.

Similar to Great Britain, France has allowed immigrants to do what they want, which has resulted in a total failure. In the eyes of Collectif contre l'islamophobie en France the integration failure of Muslims is the consequence of French discrimination and racism, French Islamophobia. In their thinking, Muslims are victims like Jews 50 years ago and not people who terrorize native population. From their perspective, low wages and poor jobs for immigrants and their children are results of racism, not of poor education scores and low productivity.

The 136 page report, written by the French government agency High Council for Integration, talking about the education of children of immigrant ancestry, states that the teachers in schools with high proportion of Muslim children are almost every day threatened. Parents are objecting to courses about Holocaust, Crusades, Christianity, evolution and many, many other things. The results of this ethno-cultural pressure are poor education outcomes, leading later in life to low paying jobs. In their thinking this is the result of discrimination. However, when we talk about ethnology-cultural pressures, we must never forget education and workplace results of around 700.000 Chinese nationals in France and 400.000 in UK. They have better scores than Europeans: this, therefore, is not about racism, but about culture.

It is now time to return to the Aulnay-sous-bois car factory, which has in 1982 fired Muslim extremists from workforce. Between then and today the factory owners have accepted all demands from local Muslim community, but all this did not stop the "town" from becoming the center of the 2005 unrest, where Sarkozy gave the "racaille" speech. In the end the Aulnay-sous-bois car factory has been one out of 1.500 no-go zones ("Sensitive Urban Zones") in France. It will be closed because of very low productivity or, in words of Jean-Cristophe Lagarde from the Union of Democrats and Independents, because of too many prayer breaks. This plant closure destroyed jobs which supported almost 100.000 people in Seine-Saint-Denis, but I am sure that somebody will claim that plant is closed because of French racism.

In the beginning of 2017 Aulnay-sous-bois was again the center of revolt, when an arrested black local resident, Theo, accused police of rape. Two months later, confronted with the recording of the event during the court proceedings, he changed his statement. Too late for the Aulnay-sous-bois and other no go zones that went up in flames after his original statements.

France today is the country that gave 1,000 soldiers to Islamic State. It's "integrated, multicultural" residents are cheering for Algeria, Morocco, but almost never for France. The World Cup wins of northafrican countries result in massive riots and looting. Macron, the new French president, despite all the above-mentioned multicultural failures, continues this course: every company employing new worker from a no-go zone receives 15,000 euros. The fact, that some native French persons will be left out of work for this reason, is not important enough for government that supports multiculturalism to stop this apartheid decision. French liberal elite uses Sunni rapper Medine,

wearing a t-shirt with Jihad written on it, with the letter J in the shape of a saber, as a positive example of multiculturalism.

French government's reaction to this totally separate life of natives and immigrants, to this total societal failure in which immigrant population is isolating itself to no go zones, became forced mixing of natives and immigrants. Teenagers (16 years old) will be forced under the new French law to live over a month during summer holidays together in shared collective accommodations. "Integration" and "social mixing" will be encouraged in the de facto forced attempt to create a new united nation.

Extract from chapter foreign affairs

Russia

Soviet Union was inherited by two mortally ill leaders, one after the other, and after them, the incompetent Gorbachev came to power. His first act was a campaign against alcoholism while the second was the perestroika with the aim of abolishing the centralized economy and the third was aimed at reducing or abolishing censorship. His first act caused the damage of 100 billion rubles, the second resulted in an economic collapse, and the third with the freedom to criticize the disintegrating system. The only thing that kept the system was the fear that Stalin's terror embedded 50 years ago. When it became clear that Gorbachev is a humanist who did not want to get dirt on his hands, the USSR collapsed.

Knowing about the national crisis in the USSR, the state debt that grew from 0 to 109 billion dollars during Gorbachev, the collapse of the economy, the proclaimed independence of the Baltic states, and Gorbachev's desire to abolish the union and transform it into a confederation, his incapable officials decided to dismiss him in 1991. In an event to be called a coup d'état, the vice president, the prime minister, defense minister, interior minister, president of the parliament, head of the KGB and others attempted to remove Gorbachev from power on 19 August 1991. This unsuccessful attempt ultimately only sped up the collapse of the USSR, that happened contrary to the will of the people expressed through a referendum. With the collapse of the USSR at the end of 1991, a new Russian disaster began.

Putin stated, what is often repeated in the West, that the collapse of the USSR in 1991 was "the greatest geopolitical catastrophe" and if we are humanists we must accept that he was more or less right. Looking at Russia alone, according to a US study made in 2003, it had between 2,776,000 and 4,033,000 more deaths. To this deaths we must add 7,000,000 fewer births, in order to reach the Russian demographic loss of 10 million people between 1991-2001. A similar collapse between the number of births and the increase in the number of deaths occurred in other newly-emerged post-soviet states. To those numbers we still have to add population losses due to the wars and the ethnic cleansing that followed those wars so the total number of deaths on former Soviet territory can be estimated at 6 and the demographic loss at 20 million.

After gently speaking, incompetent Yeltsin, in his farewell speech, asked Russians for forgiveness for what he did, the new President of Russia on 31. December 1999 became Putin. At the time of the transfer of power Russian GDP was, due to the privatization robbery and the new oligarchs failure to pay taxes, at 60% of the 1989 level. The most famous example of this business practice known in the West was Mikhail Khodorkovsky, a deputy minister of fuel and energy in 1993 that ten years later became the potentially richest man in the world.

Putin assumed power first as a prime minister, and then as the president, practically one year after Russia's 1998 bankruptcy. This was the era of Second War in Chechnya, the minimum wage of 132 Russian rubles and the oligarchs who did not pay taxes. The war was soon over, the oligarchs were forced to pay taxes, and 16 years later the minimum salary was 5965 rubles, while in Moscow it was 16500 rubles with, macroeconomically a little high, but healthy inflation ranging between 6 and 12%.

Together with this internal situation Putin inherited from his predecessors frozen wars in Moldova and Georgia, a just finished civil war in Tajikistan, and the country with completely ruined confidence over foreign policy. Russia could only vent anger over NATO expansion in contravention of the 1990 agreements. During the 09 February 1990 meeting the US secretary of state James Baker has declared „not extension of NATO's jurisdiction for forces one inch to the east if Soviets agreed to the NATO membership of a unified Germany”. This statement, guarantee has been given only after consensus was reached between the US, UK and Germany. During the next months presidents Bush and Mitterrand, prime ministers Kohl, Thatcher and Major all gave similar security assurances against NATO expansion.

Using modern Time of Troubles NATO has expanded to Poland, Romania, Baltic countries etc., so between the western military alliance and Russia only exSoviet countries, like Ukraine and Georgia remained as buffer states. Western organized coups in this countries and Uzbekistan between 2003 and 2005 have resulted with the de facto collapse of foreign relations between Russia and NATO countries in the time of raising of living standards and slowly restoring country self-confidence.

Military attempt of the new pro-western government of Georgia to take control of rebel provinces Abkhazia and South Ossetia, that proclaimed independence during the Soviet colapse, was blocked by the Russian military intervention. That war has ended with the proclamation of so called

Medvedev doctrine, a part of which is the demand for a multi-polar world and a part is about the existence of countries and regions in which Russia has privileged interests.

Extract from chapter foreign affairs USA

Free trade

Today, following the test flight of the first Chinese passenger plane, it is time to recall the claims of globalization advocates, who in the early 1990s claimed that globalization would lead to low-quality, low-cost jobs in China and other underdeveloped countries, while the workforce in the Western world will have better jobs with higher wages. A quarter of a century later, it must be stressed that China has become the world power requiring, for example, a large number of bullet trains to be built. However, all of these trains are built in China, because Chinese do not have any intention of financing jobs in other countries. As another example of a similar Chinese economy thinking, we need to remember that when China manufactured its first passenger aircraft, 570 airplanes were already sold six months before its first test flight. Of course, all 570 passenger airplanes were ordered by Chinese companies because China, unlike the United States or the European Union, does not intend to finance job creation in other countries.

Before the 1990s, globalization unquestionably existed, but it was not unlimited as it is today, so Western countries have protected their domestic production, their domestic jobs with protective customs tariffs and taxes. As already mentioned earlier, Ronald Reagan has increased the protection of domestic jobs from international competition, but in 1994, during the Democratic rule of Clinton, all protections were abolished. First, NAFTA agreement on 01.01.1994. created a unique economic area of developed countries Canada & United States and a non developed Mexico, and then on 01.01.1995., the World Trade Organization (WTO) Free Trade Agreement came into force.

Before and during signing of the NAFTA agreement, politicians and so-called economic experts spoke about opening up new jobs in the United States, but that was of course not true. The study written by John McLaren of the University of Virginia clearly states that during the first six years of this agreement workers in industries involved in this treaties lost 17% of their income. It all happened during the crazy nineties when the economy rose due to the fall in oil prices, the collapse of communism and when the emergence

of computers & internet simply exploded. What happened later was much, much worse in all possible analysis.

Economic analysis by Ebenstein, Harrison and McMillan stated that 22 million Americans worked in production in 1983, while thirty years later, only 14 million of these jobs were still in the US. Another analysis whose data are not comparable with these shows that number of manufacturing jobs in the US declined between 2001 and 2007 by 18.7% while China's share of imported goods increased from 10.9 to 23.1% between 2001 and 2011. At present in the political and economic community there is a completely unnecessary discussion of whether the US has lost 2, 3 or 5 million workplaces because of China and whether 0.5, 1 or 2 million of jobs have been lost to Mexico. These discussions are completely unnecessary as the fact remains that millions of jobs have been lost while other employees have reduced their salaries to "stay competitive with foreign competition".

In conclusion, it is also necessary to think about situation in Mexico. The free market theory claims that the elimination of trade barriers will result with benefits for everybody. Even in worst case scenario by this and almost all other economy theory, if the United States has lost jobs from the NAFTA agreement, then because of fact that the American and Mexican economies are virtually united, if nothing else, Mexico should have benefits. The real truth about this is completely different: while in the rest of Latin America between 1994 and 2014 the poverty rate fell from 46 to 25%, in Mexico it only declined from 45.1 to 41.2%. At the same time, their salaries have risen only 4.1% in these 20 years.

Overall, NAFTA had destroyed jobs in the United States, lowered economic growth, and impoverished the inhabitants of Mexico, which eventually led to a rapid migration of Mexicans over Rio Grande. From all this, it must be concluded that the only persons who have unquestionably benefited from the NAFTA agreement were the corporations because it has served for demolishing US labor prices and conquering the agricultural market in Mexico. In short, the Minister of Foreign Affairs of Mexico was right when he said that NAFTA represents an agreement between the US, Canadian and Mexican elites against the people of these countries .

Corporate America-Monopoly

Now, practically four decades after the beginning of what we call capitalist counter revolution, while economic experts typically call it a neoliberal economy, the situation or more precise climate in corporate America to put it mildly is worrisome. The typical economic model implies that in order to gain greater profits, small number of people and corporations are cheating the system, but when the level of this cheating reaches a certain parameter then the system begins to collapse. Realistically speaking, because of this cheating, unfair practices, the foundation of the system on which corporate America rests has collapsed 10 years ago. Today it is maintained only through direct state intervention with the goal of assisting and even funding a system that simply does not work or can operate on today's market foundations.

There is more potential ways of assessing the functioning of the system, it is important to recall the basics and then look how the American corporate model works. Currently, in the US, business deaths outpace business births for the first time since researchers started collecting the data in the late 1970's. The only possible reason for this economic disorder must be in the fact that artificial barriers have been created that have reduced the number of new businesses and their business success in the first years of existence. This in itself points to a certain level of monopolist behavior of existing companies.

Before we begin this brief tour of the US economy we need to return to Reagan years to understand government influence of this events. Officially Farm bill of 1985 aimed to boost agricultural exports by lowering commodity prices to a point where they would be competitive on the world market. Corn, which at that time cost an average of \$3.25 to grow, would be sold at as low as \$1 a bushel by the middle of 1986. Farmers would be compensated for their losses with federal subsidies. The federal subsidies amounted to a healthy subsidy for large farms but did little for middle-sized family farms, which defaulted on their loans in record numbers. All in all, the price of farm subsidy program's grew from some \$7.32 billion in 1984 to a record \$25.8 billion in 1986., yet four hundred thousand American farm families lost their land. Economical result of this policy has been that farmers have lost their land because between 1980 and 1989 total prices paid to farmers have dropped 40 %, but the consumer food prices have gone up 36% because of lowering of market competition.

Today situation represent only the evolution of this Reagan years to other sectors of economy. As we live in the computer / Internet era, it would be perhaps good to look at the situation in this industry, which is one of the reasons for the economic growth of the nineties. Since the beginning of the computer era in the 1980s, hard drives were produced by more than 200 world corporations, but today we are having only three. American companies that dominated this market today have come to this position by eliminating other smaller US competitors (Conner, Maxtor, Quantum and others) and in that way they have created a de facto monopoly in which Western Digital and Seagate now control more than 80% of the market. In accordance with the monopoly rules, both companies refuse to cut prices. To avoid falling prices, they are reducing production and in this way they raise prices to increase corporate profits. Practically identical situation is in the computer processor market where Intel monopoly holds 80+ percent of the market, while at the same time Microsoft holds 90 percent of the operating system market, which has unquestionably created barriers to market competition.

Another brilliant example of this economic failure can be seen in the business model of US airlines. Over the course of 2015, these companies, primarily thanks to a fall in oil prices, had a profit of \$ 24 billion. Those unexpected earnings they have not directed towards consumers or investments as it would be in a normal market economy, but rather they have pocketed those profits and gave them to their shareholders. The reason for this monopoly modus operandi can be seen in the fact that industry is dominated by four firms with tight financial discipline and many shareholders in common. This unquestionably indicates that a monopoly has been created and that market rules do not exist any more in the american airline industry. Sad thing is that we are having similar situation in many other market segments.

The result of these and other artificially-created monopolies is that two-thirds of Americans, including a majority of Republicans, have come to believe that the economy “unfairly favours powerful interests”. Economic data suggest that belief is correct. Because, although American companies now make a fifth of their profits abroad, their naughty secret is that their return-on-equity is 40% higher at home - which is clear evidence of monopolistic behavior

Even White House Council of Economic Advisers is telling us that in their 2016 report. We can read in this report that this decrease in competition, this

monopolies are created with increases in merger and acquisition activity: firms' crowding out existing or potential competitors, either deliberately or through innovation, and through regulatory barriers to entry, such as occupational licensing, that have reduced the entry of new firms into a variety of markets. Government action has created this situation so they can help reverse this trend.

Extract from chapter Fake news

....If we ask European institutions, Europeans see globalisation as an opportunity. On the other side, if we ask Europeans that question they will tell us that the living standards and the welfare states were all better protected in the heyday of nation states in the 1950s and 1960s than they are in the age of globalization.

If we want to believe the official European propaganda, aging population will result with lower economy growth rates. so we need to accept migrants. On the other side, in his study, Daren Acemoglu from Massachusetts Institute of Technology has shown us, using Japan like an example, that there is no evidence of negative relationship between aging and GDP per capita.


If we want to believe the official European data, strong majority of citizens are saying that the EU has been a force for good in their country. When we move from this fake news to reality we will see that in recent years, almost all popular votes on EU matters ended up with the same answer: No. Final results of this so called popularity of the Union are represented by the words of the president of European commission who declared "Regarding referenda on EU membership, I think it is not wise to organize this kind of debate".

....There is criticism in this book that even European institutions can agree with: the White paper from 2017 states that many Europeans consider the Union as too interfering in their day-to-day lives. Main problem is that after accepting this and other criticism, European Union is de facto declaring how eurocrats are proud because in the space of a generation, the average European worker has gone from having a job for life to having more than ten in a career. Officially in White paper eurocrats are failing to see a connection between this change of work environment and the real risk that the generation of today's young adults ends up less well-off than their parents, the issue that document is addressing elsewhere.

Croatian version of book has 670 sources and the international much more.

Email contact:

robert.jurcic@aol.com



Matteo Renzi, premijer Italije

- Sada je dosta Angela! Natjerala si nas da se držimo politike štednje koja je nanijela velika patnje našim ljudima. Ne možeš tvrditi da daješ krv za Europu, kada smo mi oni koji krvarimo.

Trevor Phillips, predsjednik Komisije za rasnu jednakost Velike Britanije

- Rim možda još ne gori, ali mislim da mogu osjetiti miris paljevine dok se mi krećemo po taktovima liberalnog samozavaravanja.

Jean-Claude Juncker, predsjednik Europske komisije

- Ja ne vjerujem da su Francuzi i Nizozemci na referendumu odbili ustav Europske unije.

White paper on the future of Europe - Prvi put nakon završetka Drugog svjetskog rata postoji realna mogućnost da će današnji mladi imati niži životni standard od svojih roditelja.

ISBN 978-953-8192-04-3



25 GODINA EUROPSKE UNIJE 25 GODINA PROMAŠAJA • ROBERT JURČIĆ

ROBERT JURČIĆ



25 GODINA EUROPSKE UNIJE

25 GODINA PROMAŠAJA

